Collaborative note INRA, ANSES, DGAL-SDQPV, ARVALIS – Institut du végétal 2011

for cereals diseases resistance management

This note written by INRA, ANSES DGAL-SDQPV and ARVALIS – Institut du végétal draws up the resistance situation and expresses recommendations. This 2011 note stresses the changes, and especially the emergence of a new category of strains resistant to DMIs in septoria leaf blotch.

SITUATION in 2010 and RECOMMENDATIONS for 2011

SEPTORIA LEAF BLOTCH (*S. tritici*)

Resistance to QoIs (strobilurins, famoxadone) due to the mutation G143A affects all of the French regions producing cereals. In these conditions, the efficacy of all strobilurins is now strongly compromised everywhere in France. S. tritici strains moderately resistant to triazoles (main class of DMIs) are still dominant in all French areas; these strains are weakly resistant, and for a part of them, fully susceptible, to prochloraz. Several new emerging phenotypes are detected since 2008. These emerging phenotypes are still in progression in 2010 but stay mostly at low frequency in populations (3%). They can be divided into 2 subgroups¹: (1) the first subgroup (called non-MDR) is constituted of strains exhibiting high resistance levels to one or a few DMIs, due to the selection of new combinations of mutations in the gene encoding the target protein of DMIs; (2) the second subgroup, called MDR (for MultiDrug Resistance) is represented by strains exhibiting high levels of resistance to most DMIs and a weak cross-resistance with SDHIs (Succinate DeHydrogenase Inhibitors, including carboxamides). This phenotype is determined by the selection of a new resistance mechanism that enables these strains to excrete fungicides out of the fungal cell more efficiently. Due to the still rare frequency of these new phenotypes, fungicides efficacy is not affected by this recent evolution of populations. The first results from field trials show that all tested unisite fungicides (triazoles, imidazoles, SDHIs), used alone or in mixture, may exert a selective pressure, with different intensities, on part or all of these emerging populations.

Recommendations: Though the efficacy of triazoles in the field is decreasing, the most efficient ones (mostly epoxiconazole and prothioconazole) are still interesting. Furthermore, the efficacy of triazoles must be reinforced by some multisite fungicides (chlorothalonil, mancozeb), boscalid or prochloraz. The use of unisites, boscalid, epoxyconazole, prothioconazole or prochloraz

¹ Leroux P, Walker AS, Multiple mechanisms account for resistance to sterol 14α-demethylation inhibitors in field isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Pest Manag Sci* (2010). *In Press*

and more generally, all the triazoles, will be limited to one application per season. The limitation of the number of treatments and the diversification of modes of action and molecules are certainly the best way to slow down the selective pressure.

POWDERY MILDEW (*B. graminis f. sp tritici* and *B. graminis f.sp hordei*)

We have observed a low disease pressure these last years. Resistance to strobilurins is probably still deeply established in France, remaining however limited in the South. Even if resistance to SBIs (DMIs and "amines") is widespread in France, many of these molecules are still quite effective.

Some strains strongly resistant to quinoxyfen have been detected in France these last few years and are mainly located in Champagne (North– East of France). If the activity of quinoxyfen can be affected, proquinazid, even if exhibiting cross-resistance with quinoxyfen, remains good in all situations.

Cyflufenamid and metrafenone present distinct modes of action.

Since 2009, strains moderately resistant to metrafenone have been observed at low frequency in France. Highly resistant strains have been detected in Champagne in 2010 at very low frequency and in other European countries since 2009.

However, triticale powdery mildew is still susceptible to the whole mildewcides used on wheat.

Recommendations: The Qols family can no longer be considered as efficient on powdery mildew in most of the French regions. Cyprodinil is no longer effective enough to be used on powdery mildew. Quinoxyfen can no longer be used by itself on wheat powderv mildew when resistance occurs. In addition, metrafenone, fenpropidin or fenpropimorph will be preferred in mixture with another mildewcide. Metrafenone, cyflufenamid, proguinazid remain efficient on the current cereal mildew populations. Nevertheless, their use will be limited to one application per season with, if possible, alternation over years.

EYESPOT (*Oculimacula yallunadae* and *O. acuformis*.)

The main species is *Oculimacula yallundae* and the strains now encountered are often resistant to most DMIs, and especially to prochloraz. All strains of *Oculimacula spp.* are sensitive to prothioconazole. Some strains resistant to cyprodinil can still be detected in France at a non negligible frequency within the two species of *Oculimacula spp.* They have, however, no notable impact in practice.

Multiresistant strains exhibiting low levels of resistance towards prothioconazole, boscalid and cyprodinil are regularly observed since a few years, without any efficacy loss. Metrafenone is not concerned by this phenomenon, neither by specific resistance.

Recommendations: Efficacy levels observed in trials are generally limited. Mixture of several fungicides is often necessary to obtain satisfactory results. More generally, the associations of products with different modes of action are more effective. However, for the first treatment, it is recommended to alternate the use of different types of products, either active on foot or leaves, over years to limit the risk of resistance. Prochloraz is generally poorly efficient against eyespot and is better when used against septoria leaf blotch. As metrafenone is active against eyespot as well as against powdery mildew, limit its use to once per season. Reminder: resistant varieties constitute a good non chemical opportunity to control eyespot

HELMINTHOSPORIUM ON WHEAT (*H. tritici-repentis*)

In Northern Europe, some strains of *Helminthosporium tritici-repentis* exhibit mutations in the cytochrome b gene (the QoIs' target), either in position 129 (low level of resistance), or in position 143 (high level of resistance). These two mutations can be found both at the same time in a population. Efficacy of strobilurins could then be severely affected if the frequency of strains highly resistant is important. In France, these two mutations were observed at a low frequency but no efficacy losses were reported for strobilurins.

Recommendations: Use strobilurins in association with a triazole efficient on wheat *Helminthosporium* (in particular prothioconazole, tebuconazole, propiconazole) if high risk of disease.

HELMINTHOSPORIUM ON BARLEY (H. teres)

In France, the resistance of *Helminthosporium teres* to QoIs fungicides is well established and seems to remain stable since 2006. This phenomenon is determined by the mutation located in position 129 which leads to low to moderate resistance. In situation of resistance, field efficacy of all strobilurins was affected, especially for azoxystrobin, whereas pyraclostrobin is still the less affected strobilurin. Picoxystrobin and trifloxystrobin exhibit similar and intermediate results. The positive effect of fluoxastrobin used in mixture with prothioconazole is mostly less important than that of trifloxystrobin.

A shift in sensitivity to DMI has also been observed for a long time and probably induced the decrease in efficacy of this class of SBI. Today, prothioconazole remains the most efficient product of this family on this disease.

Cyprodinil and boscalid represent two other modes of action that are not affected at the moment by resistance.

Recommendations: Always associate strobilurins with efficient fungicides that have other modes of action (in particular prothioconazole or cyprodinil). Diversify and alternate the modes

of action. Avoid using double applications of strobilurins, prothioconazole, epoxyconazole, cyprodinil, or boscalid or in the same year.

RAMULARIA ON BARLEY (*Ramularia collo-cyni*)

Observed for the first time in France in 2002, ramularia was rapidly extended to the main barley cropping areas. The analysis undertaken since 2008 revealed high frequencies of ramularia highly resistant strains to strobilurins and presenting a modified cytochrome b in position 143. In practice, the efficacy of this class of fungicides is highly affected.

Recommendations: Ramularia is difficult to distinguish from physiological leaf spots and net blotch and is then controlled with the rest of the disease complex. The three most efficient active ingredients are the multisite chlorothalonil, and among unisites, prothioconazole and boscalid.

RHYNCOSPORIUM ON BARLEY (*Rhynchosporium secalis*)

A few resistant strains to strobilurins and presenting the G143A substitution (cytochrome b) have been detected in France in 2008 but haven't been recovered in 2009, neither in 2010.

Recommendations: Triazoles alone or in mixture with cyprodinil are giving good results.

RUSTS (P. recondita, P. striiformis, P. hordei)

As far as we can know, brown and yellow rust are not concerned by field resistance, as well as for triazoles and strobilurins.

Recommendations: Take into account the intrinsic activity on rusts of active ingredients used in treatment programs. For the time being, associations of triazoles and strobilurins provide the best efficacy against these diseases.

Fusarium Head Blight (M. majus, M. nivale, F. graminearum, F.

culmorum, F. avenaceum, F. tricinctum, F. poae and F. langsethiae)

In 2007 and 2008 and to a lesser extend in 2009, heavy attacks of *Microdochium majus* and *M. nivale were observed*. Since 2007, *Microdochium* QoIs resistance is widely established with highly resistant strains. The main mechanism of resistance is the alteration of cytochrome b at location 143 (mutation G143A) but some other mechanisms occur. According to the available data, frequency and levels of resistance are very high, especially in *M. majus*, wich is actually the dominant species, and are leading to field efficacy losses.

Concerning benzimidazoles and thiophanates, analyses showed that more than 50% of *Microdochium spp.* strains were resistant, most of them cumulating resistance to strobilurins. However, *F. culmorum*, *F. graminearum* and *F. langsethiae* remain almost all susceptible to benzimidazoles and thiophanate. Finally, no shit in sensitivity to DMIs

have been observed for *Fusarium* spp., for which most of the strobilurins have no or poor efficacy.

Recommendations:

Microdochium spp: among SBIs, only prothioconazole present good field efficacy; prochloraz and fenpropimorph present interesting activities. Thiophanate-methyl and strobilurins have no longer interest on *M. majus* and *M. nivale*, since the generalization of these resistances.

Fusarium spp.: to control the various species of *Fusarium*, it is possible to use DMIs like prothioconazole, tébuconazole or metconazole, or also thiophanate-methyl since the current population are susceptible to these fungicides.

GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS TO MANAGE CEREALS DISEASES RESISTANCE IN 2008

Based on this updated view of the situation, we renew our practical recommendations of prophylaxis to:

- Reduce parasitical risks,
- Limit the use of fungicides and thus the selective pressure on pathogen fungus,
- Manage situations of practical resistance

• Give preference to varieties tolerant to relevant diseases, and avoid using sensitive wheat or barley cultivars over wide areas.

• Diversify the cultivars over years at the scale of the farm and of the local area, to enhance the durability of cultivars resistance to diseases.

• Prefer field practices that reduce the disease risk, in particular those that can limit primary inoculum (for example rotation, ploughing, sowing date...) or disease spreading (density, nitrogen).

• Treat only if necessary, according to the climate, cultivation conditions, models and observations.

• Treat according to disease development, using reliable methods of observation and symptoms monitoring.

• Limit the number of applications per season of active ingredients from the same chemical family (usually characterized by a positive cross resistance). Similarly, where the same active ingredient may be used as an ear treatment and as seed treatment, avoid if possible cumulating two treatments with the same molecule

• Avoid unnecessary application of active substance by adjusting the spectrum of products used at real risk.

• Diversify modes of action by alternating or associating molecules in treatment programs, to minimize the risk of resistance development and/or to deal in practice with resistance for a given family.

• On cereals, some diseases are concerned by strobilurins resistance, and some are not. To limit the risk on unconcerned diseases, it is better to use strobilurins only once a year.

• The most efficient DMI active ingredients can be used to treat cereals diseases in a resistance context. As far as possible, avoid to use the same molecule more that once per season. Furthermore, their performances will be improved if they are associated with some other modes of action, or even, in the case of mixtures, between complementary DMIs.

| Annexe | : Simplifie | d classification | of fungicides |
|--------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
|--------|-------------|------------------|---------------|

| MODE OF ACTION | TARGET | GROUP | HEMICAL FAMILY | MOLECULES |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mitosis and cellular division | Microtubules | MBC (Methyl Benzimidazole Carbamates) | benzimidazoles | thiophanate thiophanate-methyl |
| Respiration | Mitochondrial complex II : succinate- déshydrogénase | SDHI (Succinate dehydrogenase inhibitors) | phenyl-benzamides | benodanil flutolanil mepronil |
| | | | pyridinyl-ethyl-benzamides | fluopyram |
| | | | furancarboxamides | fenfuram |
| | | | oxathiin- carboxamides | carboxin oxycarboxin |
| | | | thiazole- carboxamides | thifluzamide |
| | | | pyrazole- carboxamides | bixafen furametpyr isopyrazam penflufen penthiopyrad sedaxane fluxapyroxad |
| | | | pyridine- carboxamides | boscalid |
| | Mitochondrial complex III: cytochrome b | | methoxy-acrylates | azoxystrobin picoxystrobin |
| | | Ool (Quinone Outside | methoxy-carbamates | pyraclostrobin |
| | | Inhibitors) | oximino-acetates | kresoxim-methyl trifloxystrobin |
| | | | oximino-acetamides | dimoxystrobin fluoxastrobin |
| Synthesis of amino-acids and proteins | Methionine biosynthesis | AP (Anilino-Pyrimidines) | anilinopyrimidines | cyprodinil |
| Signal | Unknown mechanism | Aza paphthalapas | quinolines | quinoxyfen |
| transduction | Olikhown mechanism | Aza-haphthalenes | quinazolinones | proquinazid |
| Biosynthesis of membrane lipids | C14-demethylation of sterols | DMI (De-Methylation Inhibitors) | imidazoles | prochloraz |
| | | | triazoles | bromuconazole cyproconazole epoxiconazole fluquinconazole flusilazole flutriafol metconazole myclobutanil propiconazole tébuconazole tetraconazole triadimenol triticonazole |
| | | | triazolinethiones | prothioconazole |
| | Δ ¹⁴ reductase and Δ ⁸ →Δ ⁷ isomerase of stérols | Amines | morpholines | fenpropimorph |
| | | | piperidines | fenpropidin |
| | | | spiroketalamines | spiroxamine |
| Unknown mode of | Unknown mechanism | Phenyl-acetamide | phenyl-acetamide | cyflufenamid |
| action | Actin disruption ? | Benzophénone | benzophenone | metrafenone |
| Multisites | Several action sites | Dithiocarbamates | dithiocarbamates | mancozeb |
| | Several action sites | Chloronitriles | chloronitriles | chlorothalonil |